

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/032,102	12/31/2001	Takashi Mukaihara	381TO/41670C4	6848
759	90 04/09/2003			
CROWELL & MORING, L.L.P. Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, BINH Q	
Washington, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3748	l o
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	.,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/032,102	MUKAIHARA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		BINH Q. TRAN	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 January 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,7 and 17-28</u> is/are pending in the						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cláim(s) <u>17-28</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) <u>6-7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers  OVE The appeiring is objected to by the Everyiner							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/233,398</u> .						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment	•						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u>	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/032,102

Art Unit: 3748

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to the amendment filed January 21, 2003.

### Response To Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III set of claims containing Claims 4-16 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-3 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected inventions.

This application contains claims 1-3 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in Paper No. 4. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP, 821.01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 3748

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such

treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 17-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Kurihara et al. (Kurihara) (Patent Number 5,341,642).

Regarding claims 17, 20, 23, and 26, Kurihara discloses a diagnostic system (11) for diagnosing a deterioration state of a catalyst in an engine (1), comprising:

a memory (RAM) for storing a preset criterion value and a predetermined range for a state variable of the engine that correlates with a physical quantity affecting a catalytic action of the catalyst; and a processor (11) connected to the memory (RAM) for obtaining an index value indicative of a conversion efficiency of the catalyst (See Figs. 1-4; col. 6, lines 30-67; col. 7, lines 1-25); receiving a value of the state variable of the engine; suspending a determination of the deterioration state of the catalyst if the value of the state variable is outside the predetermined range; and determining the deterioration state of the catalyst by comparing the index value with the preset criterion value if the value of the state variable is within the predetermined range (See col. 7, lines 25-67; col. 8, lines 1-67; col. 9, lines 1-20).

Regarding claims 18, 21, 24, and 27, Kurihara further discloses that the physical quantity is a temperature of the catalyst (See col. 2, lines 57-64) and the state variable is selected from the group consisting of a quantity of intake air, a quantity of fuel injection, and a revolutions-perminute of the engine (See col. 1, lines 64-67; col. 2, lines 1-24; col. 5, lines 42-67; col. 6, lines 1-30).

Regarding claims 19, 22, 25, and 28, Kurihara further discloses that the preset criterion

value represents a limit of deterioration calling for replacement of the catalyst (See Figs. 4-6; col.

7, lines 25-67; col. 8, lines 1-67; col. 9, lines 1-20).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-7 are allowed.

Since allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant is encouraged to submit formal

drawings in response to this Office action. The early submission of formal drawings will permit the

Office to review the drawings for acceptability and to resolve any informalities remaining therein

before the application is passed to issue. This will avoid possible delays in the issue process.

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure and consists of four patents:

Mukaihira et al. (Patent Number 5,400,592), Takizawa et al. (Patent Number 5,381,657),

Ogawa et al. (Patent Number 5,357,754), and Sato et al. (Patent Number 5,396,766) all discloses an

exhaust gas purification for use with an internal combustion engine.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not

completely persuasive. Claims 6-7, and 17-28 are pending.

Art Unit: 3748

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-7, 17-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above.

Applicant's amendment (Claims 6-7, 17-28) necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL See MPEP. 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,102

Art Unit: 3748

Page 6

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Binh Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-0245. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reach on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 746-4561.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

BT

April 04, 2003

Binh Tran

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3748